

Colovaginoplasty for a Patient with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser's Syndrome (Mrkh) our Experience in Federal Medical Center Katsina

Musa MU^{1*} Nasir S², Umar M², Eneh P¹, Salisu S² and El-Ladan A²

¹Department of Surgery, Urology Unit, Federal Medical Centre Katsina, Katsina State, Nigeria

²Department of National Obstetrics Fistula Centre, Babbarruga Katsina State, Nigeria

³Department of O&G, Turai Yar'adua, Maternal and Child Hospital Katsina, Katsina State, Nigeria

***Corresponding author:** Muhammad Ujudud Musa, Department of Surgery, Federal Medical Center Katsina, P.M.B 2121, Katsina State, Nigeria, Tel: +2348036005365, E-Mail:ujudud@gmail.com

Citation: Musa MU (2019) Colovaginoplasty for a Patient with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser's Syndrome (Mrkh) our Experience in Federal Medical Center Katsina. American Journal of Surgery and Clinical Case Reports. 1(1): 1-4

Received Date: Jul 15, 2019 **Accepted Date:** Jul 27, 2019 **Published Date:** Aug 01, 2019

Key Clinical Message: Colovaginoplasty is a rewarding surgical procedure in returning the social life of the patient with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser's syndrome, as it happens to our patient with the rare congenital absent vagina now happily married, after we did the successful surgery in our center.

1. Keywords: Colovaginoplasty; Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser; Syndrome

2. Introduction

The Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser (MRKH) Syndrome is a rare congenital müllerian duct agenesis with various degrees of clinical manifestations ranging from primary amenorrhea to complete absence of vagina, even though they may have secondary female sexual characteristics [1-3]. The incidence varies from region to region with different researchers reporting various figures from 1 in 4,000 to 1 in 10,000 female life births [4-6] some of the patients may present with a normal uterus, rudimentary bi-cornuate, with or without lumen or it may totally be absent as it occurs in our patient and most of the patients being 46XX have ovaries, they may have blind end or completely absent vagina [7]. They may present with associated renal anomalies such as malposition, horse shoe kidney or agenesis of the kidney and skeletal abnormalities are noted in 12% of patients such as primarily spine defects and limb and rib defects and auditory defects [7-9] our patient presented with a renal agenesis, treatment of these patients varies depending on the severity of the pathology, the expertise available and the patient choice. The treatment can be from serial vaginal dilatation, myocutaneous flaps, split thickness and full thickness skin grafts, using peritoneum or bladder mucosa and oxidized

cellulose fabric to colovaginoplasty [10].

Different segments of intestine have been used in vaginal reconstruction notably Baldwin was the first to describe the techniques of using sigmoid colon for colovaginoplasty, others described the use of ileum and cecum even though sigmoid colon is more preferred because of its anatomical proximity and easy mobile vascular pedicle [11-13].

3. Methods

The case note file of the patient was reviewed.

4. Case Report

Our patient was 24 years old who presented with history of primary amenorrhea, there was no history of trauma or surgery in the perineum, no family history of similar problem, there was no history of lower urinary tract or gastrointestinal symptoms.

On examination she was found to have well developed breast bilaterally with female hair distribution, abdominal examination revealed normal gynecoid pelvis, normal female pubic hair distribution with well-developed labia and absent vagina with a vaginal dimple about 2cm, Abdominal USS revealed hypoplastic uterus with left renal agenesis and the right kidney is normal in shape, size and location, her packed cell volume was 35% and her U,E&Cr were essentially normal, Urine MCS cultured no growth.

She was prepared and had surgery. Intra operative findings were normal female urethra opening accommodating size 16 F Foley's catheter, normal bladder, absent vaginal canal with a blind end dimple (**Figure 1**). There was absent uterus and

fallopian tubes however the ovaries were present bilaterally (Figure 2). We mobilized about 15cm of the sigmoid colon and resected it (Figure 3) and colo-colic anastomosis was done to restore colonic continuity, the proximal end of the resected sigmoid colon was sutured (Figure 4). The distal segment of the sigmoid colon was full through the space created between the bladder anteriorly and the rectum posteriorly and sutured to the interoitus (Figure 5), the neovagina accommodated a vaginal dilator (Figure 6), and the neovagina was cleaned (Figure 7), she did well Post operatively, we started neovaginal daily dilatation and later the patient was doing it, she was discharge 2 weeks after the surgery and was on follow up 4 months post operatively the neovagina was completely healed (Figure 8) and she is presently happily married.



Figure 1: Absent vagina with a 2cm dimple



Figure 2: Absent uterus with bilateral ovaries present



Figure 3: 20cm of sigmoid colon resected



Figure 4: showing the closed proximal segment of the sigmoid colon



Figure 5: showing the distal sigmoid segment full through the space created and sutured.



Figure 6: showing the neovaginal accommodating the vaginal dilator



Figure 7: showing the neovaginal immediate post operatively



Figure 8: The Healed Neovagina 4 months post op

5. Discussion

The MRKH syndrome is a mullerian duct agenesis presenting with an absent vagina as it is the case of our patient who presented with an absent vagina with about 2cm dimple, as reported by Muhammad Saleem et al and Mungadi et al, our patient presented with normal female external secondary sexual characteristic as reported by Muhammad Saleem et al however Mungadi et al reported delay in secondary sexual characteristics, The MRKH Syndrome is classified into Type 1&2, Type 1 is when the patient presents with purely genital malformation, this type is also called isolated MRKH syndrome or Rokitansky sequence and Type 2 when the patient have associated Mullerian renal, cervicothoracic, somite abnormalities (MURCS) involving the Genital, Renal and Ear anomalies as described by et al [14,15] Our patient presented with Type 2 MRKH syndrome with associated left Renal agenesis, as reported by Patricia G. Oppelt et al were they found 18.7% of their patients with renal anomalies,

Peter Oppelt et al in another study found 32% of patients with associated renal anomalies while Lacey S. Williams 7 patients out of 52 cases studied with renal agenesis,[16-17]. However Muhammad Saleem et al reported a case of Type 1 MRKH with no associated renal, musculoskeletal or ear anomalies, as well as [18] et al who reported 4 patients with Type 1 MRKH syndrome and [19] et al found 4 out of 19 patients with Type 1 MRKH syndrome[18-19].

In a study by Wenqing Ma et al among the 182 unrelated Chinese patients 155 of the cases were found to have Type 1 MRKH syndrome. Our patient has a successful Sigmoid Colovaginoplasty and now happily married as reported by et al[21-22].

6. Conclusions

Sigmoid colovaginoplasty is one of the promising procedures in the long time management of patients with MRKH syndrome as was recorded in this 24 years old lady with Type 2 MRKH syndrome.

References

1. Muhammad Saleem, Muhammad Zafar Iqbal, Mazher Rafeeq Jam, Mushtaq Ahmad, Bilal Mirza. Colovaginoplasty in a Case of Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome APSP. J Case Rep. 2014; 5(1): 7.
2. IA Mungadi, Y Ahmad, GH Yunusa, NP Agwu, S Ismail. Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome: Surgical Management of Two Cases. Journal of Surgical Technique and Case Report. 2010; 2(1): 39-43.
3. Graziano K, Teitelbaum DM, Hirschl RB, Coran AG. Vaginal reconstruction for ambiguous genitalia and congenital absence of vagina: A 27-year experience. J Pediatr Surg. 2002;37:955-60.
4. Drummond JB, Rezende CF, Peixoto FC, Carvalho JS, Reis FM, De Marco L. Molecular analysis of the B- catenin gene in patients with Mayer -Rokitansky- Kuster- Hauser Syndrome. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2008;25:511-4.
5. ACOG Committee Opinion No 335. Vaginal agenesis: diagnosis, management and routine care. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;108:1605-9.
6. Edmonds DK. Congenital malformations of the genital tract. Obstet Gynecol Clin N Am. 2000;27:49-62.
7. Mark D. Walters, Mickey M Karram. Urogynecology and Reconstructive Pelvic Surgery 4th Edition. Elsevier Saunders Philadelphia. 2015; 3: 41. ISBN: 978-0-323-11377-9.
8. Carlomagno G, Blassi AD, Monica MD. Congenital scoliosis associated with renal agenesis of the uterine cervix: Case report. BMC Women's Health. 2004;4:4.

9. Strubbe EH, Willemsen WN, Lemmens JA, Thijn CJ, Rolland R. Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome: distinction between two forms based on excretory urographic, sonographic, and laparoscopic findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1993;160:331-4.

10. Ashok Rajimwale, Peter d, Furness iii, William o. Brant and Martin a. Koyle. Vaginal construction using sigmoid colon in children and young adults. BJU Int. 2004; 94: 115-9. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2004.04911.

11. J. Kellogg Parsons, Susan L. Gearhart, John P. Gearhart Baltimore, Maryland. Vaginal Reconstruction Utilizing Sigmoid Colon: Complications and Long-Term Results. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 2002; 37(4): 629-33 doi:10.1053/jpsu

12. Baldwin J. The formation of an artificial vagina by intestinal transplantation. Ann Surg. 1904; 40: 398-403.

13. Hendren WH, Atala A. Use of bowel for vaginal reconstruction. J Urol. 1994; 152: 752-5; discussion 756-7.

14. Karine Morcel, Laure Camborieux, Programme de Recherches sur les Aplasies Mulleriennes (PRAM) and Daniel Guerrier, Review Open Access, Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases. 2007, 2:13. doi:10.1186/1750-1172-2-13

15. Patricia G Oppelt, Johannes Lermann, Reiner Strick, Ralf Dittrich, Pamela Strissel, Ingo Rettig. Malformations in a cohort of 284 women with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome (MRKH). Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology. 2012; 10: 57. <http://www.rbej.com/content/10/1/57>

16. Oppelt P, Renner SP, Kellermann A, Brucker S, Hauser GA, Ludwig KS et al. Clinical aspects of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome: recommendations for clinical diagnosis and staging. Hum Reprod. 2006, 21:792-7.

17. Williams LS, Kim HG, Kalscheuer VM, Tuck JM, Chorich LP, Sullivan ME. A balanced chromosomal translocation involving chromosomes 3 and 16 in a patient with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome reveals new candidate genes at 3p22.3 and 16p13.3. Research. Molecular Cytogenetics. 2016; 9:57. DOI 10.1186/s13039-016-0264-6.

18. Katharina Rall, Gianmaria Barresi, Michael Walter, Sven Poths, Karina Haebig, Karin Schaeferhoff et al. A combination of transcriptome and methylation analyses reveals embryologically-relevant candidate genes in MRKH patients Research. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases. 2011; 6: 32 <http://www.ocrd.com/content/6/1/32>.

19. Durkadin DemirEksi, Yiping Shen, Munire Erman, Lynn P. Chorich, Megan E. Sullivan, Meric Bilekdemir et al. Copy number variation and regions of homozygosity analysis in patients with MÜLLERIAN aplasia, Molecular Cytogenetics. 2018;11:13. DOI 10.1186/s13039-

018-0359-3

20. Ma W, Li Y, Wang M, Li H, Su T, Li Y et al. Associations of Polymorphisms in WNT9Band PBX1 with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser-Syndrome in Chinese Han. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(6): e0130202. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130202.

21. Hensle TW, ReileyEA. Vaginal replacement in children and young adults. J Urol. 1998;159: 1035-8.

22. Parsons JK, Gearhart SL, Gearhart JP. Vaginal reconstruction utilizing sigmoidcolon. Complications and long term results. JPed Surg. 2002; 37: 629-33.