1. Abstract

The purpose of our study is to assess functional outcomes of arthroscopic ACL reconstruction with the use of peroneus longus autograft.

1.1. Method: In this study, 80 patients with ACL injuries had their knees arthroscopically repaired with peroneus longus autograft. On the femoral side, an endobutton was employed to secure the graft, while on the tibial end, a bioabsorbable screw was used. All the patients were followed for at least 1 year and they all underwent a minimum of 4 weeks of physiotherapy postoperatively.

1.2. Results: Post reconstruction functional outcomes were assessed using IKDC scoring system. According to subjective IKDC scoring, 60 (75%) results were excellent, 12(15%) were good, 6(7.5%) were satisfactory and 2(2.5%) were bad.

1.3. Conclusion: Arthroscopic ACL reconstruction using peroneus longus auto graft is an effective way of ACL reconstruction with excellent functional outcomes. Keywords: ACL reconstruction, Peroneus Longus.

2. Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is small band inside the knee joint spanning from femur to tibia and act as internal stabilizer, preventing hyperextension, anterior tibial translation and internal tibial rotation giving knee a rotational stability. Study has shown that ACL carries mechanoreceptors that are sensitive to change in direction of movement, position of the knee joint, changes in acceleration, speed, and tension [1]. The two components of ACL are anteromedial bundle and posterolateral bundle. ACL is the most commonly injured structure in knee joint with annual incidence of 1/3000, resulting in 175000 ACL injuries per year in USA. A torn anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) usually occurs as a result of an acute noncontact deceleration injury, forceful hyperextension, or excessive rotational forces about the knee [2,3]. Complete ACL disruption typically results in dynamic knee instability or the inability to respond to quick changes in position [4-6]. Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury occurs most commonly in the patients involved in sports activity or Motor vehicle accidents (MVA). ACL deficient knee is found to have an increased rate of secondary meniscus injury and chondral degeneration [7-11]. There is a tenfold increase in the incidence of knee osteoarthritis after ACL tear as a natural course [12]. More than fifty percent of patients with an ACL injury will develop symptomatic osteoarthritis in the following ten to twenty years [13]. To improve knee functions and stability ACL reconstruction is gold standard surgery today. It helps to reduce progression of osteoarthritis but will not restore all knee functions as compared to uninjured knee as there are a lot of factors that play a complex role in the development of osteoarthritic changes like male gender, high BMI, time from injury to ACL reconstruction, presence of cartilage degeneration at the time of surgery and reconstruction technique [14,15].

Since the advent of using the arthroscope to treat anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tear, there has been a steady focus on limiting...
the number and extent of incisions used in ACL reconstruction surgery. Operative techniques evolved from two incisions using outside-in drilling of the femoral and tibial tunnels to an inside-out method of drilling the femoral socket, thereby eliminating the distal, lateral femoral incision, x, y, z. A relatively new minimally invasive procedure of ACL reconstruction has been developed called the all-inside technique. This technique has been described as an arthroscopic procedure “without incisions”, with drilling of incomplete “blind” tibial and femoral tunnels over ACL foot-prints, preserving the external cortex for the fixation. Another important feature of the technique is the use of the semitendinosus tendon folded four times for graft preparation. Changes were made to this technique with a new generation of retractable retrograde drills, new cortical fixation systems with the possibility of increased knee stability and accurate anatomical positioning of the femoral tunnel from outside to inside, with no need for large incisions Z+.

3.Method
We retrospectively reviewed our sports clinic record and recruited 40 patients (40 knees) who underwent ACL reconstruction using all inside technique and semitendanosus as auto graft between March 2018 and Dec 2020 with minimum follow up for 1 year months. All the surgeries were performed by a trained orthopedic and sports surgeon and the patients were followed by one orthopedic resident. All the included patients had age between 24 to 34 years. All these patients had positive anterior Lachman test, anterior drawer test and the pivot shift test (performed under anesthesia right before surgery), also they had complete ACL tear on MRI. These patients had history of sport or non-sport related trauma to the knee with resulting ACL tear and knee instability. All the patients who underwent all inside ACL reconstruction procedure had an active life style, with no vascular or neurological deficit, who were ready to participate in research study and willing to be contacted on mobile phone, had no co-morbidities and must be fit for General anesthesia and surgery. All the surgeries were performed by a single surgeon using same all inside technique for ACL reconstruction in all the patients. Post-surgery rehabilitation: After surgery all the patients attended physiotherapy clinic for minimum of 4 weeks where they were given physiotherapy sessions and educated about weight bearing and knee kinematics.

3.1. Surgical Procedure
All the surgeries were perfomed using spinal anesthesia. After proper scrubbing and draping, like standard ACL reconstruction technique, midway between tibial tubercule and medial boarder of tibia an incision is made for hamstring (semitendanosis) tendon harvesting. Hamstring tendons are identified and harvested with tendon stripper. Tendons are prepared for grafting (cleaned from muscle tissue and doubled over). Graft width was 8mm to 9.5mm (average 8.5) when doubled over. Graft length was 6.5 to 7cm mean 6.8cm. Flipcutter drill was used create retrograde, independent femoral and tibial sockets each measuring 2.5cm, while maintain cortices. Pulling suture in endo button was used to pull the graft from anteromedial portal until the femoral socket. Upon reaching the outer surface of femur the endo button is flipped. Same steps were revised for tibial socket as well.

3.2. Outcome measures
In the follow up period after surgery the patients were assessed using VAS pain score, IKDC, Lysholm score and Lachman test along with return to work and weight bearing.

4. Results
1. VAS pain score: Mean pre-reconstruction VAS pain score in 38 patients out of 40 was 9.2 with loaded knee which decreased to a mean of 1.2 post-reconstruction. The remaining 2 had mean pre-reconstruction VAS pain score was 9.0 but they had a second episode of trauma post reconstruction and continued to had pain.
2. IKDC Score: Mean IKDC score increased from 43 to 90, 16 months postoperatively.
3. Lysholm score: Prior to surgery, the mean Lysholm score was 62 while when the patients are reassessed 16 months post-surgery the score improved to a mean of 96.
4. Lachman test: Lachman test test was positive in all the patients pre-operatively while after reconstruction 96% patients had negative Lachman test at 14 and 16-month post surgery.
5. Return to work and weight bearing: Patients started fairly early weight bearing and returned to work on 14th post-surgery day.

5. Discussion
In athletes and young patients who has high level of functional demand ACL injury can be disabling, ACL reconstruction make the live easy and they can retune to pre-injury activity level. ACL reconstruction procedures have been pass several stages i.e from repair to open reconstruction to arthroscopic reconstruction to all inside reconstruction technique. All inside technique for ACL reconstruction is a new procedure. Our study assessed the outcomes of all inside technique in term of subjective and objective parameters. In our study we found that all inside technique has excellent results in term of reduction in pain level postoperatively. In our 38 patients out of 40, pre-operative VAS pain score was 9.2 while the score improved to 1.2 after reconstruction, our 2 remaining patients had second episode of trauma and had continued pain. Mean IKDC pain score also improved from a mean of 43 to 90, 16 months’ post surgery and the patients we satisfied with retune to their normal life activities. Same like IKDC, Lysholm showed improvement from a mean of 62 to 96 in 16 months post-reconstruction. All the patients had positive Lachman test before surgery while after surgery 96% of the patients had negative Lachman test.

6. Conclusion
All inside technique for ACL reconstruction is an effective procedure for ACL reconstruction with improvement in patient subjective and objective score with retune to preinjury activity level.
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